SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATESCEIVED

OCTOBER TERM, 1976

JAN 21 1977

OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT, U.S.

76-180 J. HENRY SMITH, etc. et al.,

Appellants-Defendants,

ORGANIZATION OF FOSTER FAMILIES FOR EQUITY AND REFORM, etc. et al.,

Appellees.

76-183 BERNARD SHAPIRO, etc. et al.,

Appellants-Defendants,

ORGANIZATION OF FOSTER FAMILIES FOR EQUITY AND REFORM, etc. et al.,

Appellees.

76-5193 NAOMI RODRIGUEZ, etc. et al.,

Appellants-Intervenors,

ORGANIZATION OF FOSTER FAMILIES FOR EQUITY AND REFORM, etc. et al.,

Appellees.

76-5200 DANIELLE and ERIC GANDY, etc. et al.,

Appellants-Plaintiffs,

ORGANIZATION OF FOSTER FAMILIES FOR EQUITY AND REFORM, etc. et al.,

Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

APPELLANTS' JOINT MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF A GROUP OF CONCERNED PERSONS FOR CHILDREN FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF AMICI CURIAE

MARTTIE L. THOMPSON
Committee Action for Legal
Services, Inc.
Attorney for AppellantsIntervenors
335 Broadway
New York, New York 10013
Tel. No. (212)966-6600
LOUISE GRUNER GANS
of Counsel

W. BERNARD RICHLAND
Corporation Counsel of the
City of New York
Attorney for City Appellants
One Centre Street
New York, New York 10007
Tel. No. (212)566-3322
LEONARD KOERNER
Assistant Corporation Counsel
of Counsel

LOUIS J. LEFKOWITZ
Attorney General of the
State of New York
Attorney for State
Appellant
Office & P.O. Address
Two World Trade Center
New York, New York 10047
Tel. No. (212)488-3385

SAMUEL A. HIRSHOWITZ
First Assistant Attorney
General
MARIA L. MARCUS
Assistant Attorney General
of Counsel

Attorney for Appellants-Plaintiffs
75 Madison Avenue
V York, New York 10022
1. No. (212) 826-1600 IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OCTOBER TERM, 1976

76-180 J. HENRY SMITH, etc. et al.,

Appellants-Defendants,

-against-ORGANIZATION OF FOSTER FAMILIES FOR EQUITY AND REFORM, etc. et al.,

Appellees.

76-183 BERNARD SHAPIRO, etc. et al.,

Appellants-Defendants,

ORGANIZATION OF FOSTER FAMILIES FOR EQUITY AND REFORM, etc. et al.,

Appellees.

76-5193 NAOMI RODRIGUEZ, etc. et al.,

REFORM, etc. et al.,

Appellants-Intervenors,

ORGANIZATION OF FOSTER FAMILIES FOR EQUITY AND REFORM, etc. et al.,

Appellees.

76-5200 DANIELLE and ERIC GANDY, etc. et al.,
Appellants-Plaintiffs,

ORGANIZATION OF FOSTER FAMILIES FOR EQUITY AND

Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

APPELLANTS' JOINT MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF A GROUP OF CONCERNED PERSONS FOR CHILDREN FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF AMICI CURIAE

Appellants-plaintiffs Danielle and Eric Gandy, et al., appellants-intervenors, Naomi Rodriguez, et al., appellants-defendants, J. Henry Smith, et al. and appellants-defendants Bernard Shapiro, et al. all file this joint memorandum in opposition to the Motion of A Group of Concerned Persons For Children for Leave to File Brief Amici Curiae.

While appellants generally consent to the filing of amicus curiae briefs, the application in this instance, which contains the <u>amicus</u> brief itself, is improper and appellants join to urge its rejection.

POINT I

AN AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF MAY NOT BE USED BY WITNESSES FOR A PARTY TO EXPAND THEIR TESTIMONY AT TRIAL

An attempt by witnesses testifying for a party* to label themselves "amicus curiae" and then file an appellate brief which presents further testimony without cross-examination is patently improper. Movants seek to justify themselves by arguing that they gave testimony "as witnesses, not as experts in law" (p. iv). However, most of their proposed brief continues to put forth, and to expand beyond their trial testimony, their psychiatric theories.

Nor are movants helped by their assertion that their views are "prompted. . .by common sense" (p. v) and "common experience" (p. 2), when previously they presented the same views as experts. Indeed, their views are not common; as was brought out at trial by experts testifying below, movants' opinions have not gained general acceptance among psychiatrists and other child care professionals.** Drs. Goldstein and Solnit represent a

Joseph Goldstein and Albert J. Solnit were witnesses for the appellee foster parents in the proceeding in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. Examinations before trial were taken of them on April 1, 1975 and May 13, 1975.

^{**} Appendix, pp. 172a, 173a, 181a, 182a, 255a, 258a.

particular psychiatric view, and their testimony must be assessed by this Court on the basis of their lengthy testimony and answers on cross-examination, not in the form of a purported amicus curiae brief.

POINT II

WITNESSES FOR A PARTY CANNOT SUBSEQUENTLY APPEAR AS "FRIENDS OF THE COURT"

Drs. Goldstein and Solnit had no mere tangential involvement in the court below as witnesses on behalf of appellees. Their testimony covers more than 300 pages, and was the basis for appellees' theories and claims about the foster care relationship. The fact that they now call themselves "friends of the court" cannot alter the adversarial and partisan views which they continue to offer. Mr. Justice Frankfurter stated in Universal Oil Products Co. v. Root Refining Co., 328 U.S. 575, 581 (1946):

"Amici selected by the court to vindicate its honor ordinarily ought not be in the service of those having private interests in the outcome."*

The standing and duties of an amicus have been well defined in the law. Amicus curiae is a Latin phrase for "friend of the court" as distinguished from an advocate before the court. Allen v. County School of Prince Edward County, 28 F.R.D. 358, 362 (E.D. Va., 1961); Clark v. Sandusky, 205 F. 2d 915 (7th Cir. 1953).

Indeed, the Canons of Ethics suggest that an attorney cannot be both witness and advocate in a case since the function of counsel is to advance a cause, while that of a witness is to state facts objectively.* Dr. Goldstein is both counsel for all movants, himself a movant, and was a witness for appellees.

It is clear that movants cannot satisfy the criteria for amici curiae. Their involvement with appellees, as witnesses and as members of appellees' Advisory Board,** also places them in a conflict of interest with the children for whom they wish to speak.***

POINT III

THE PROPOSED AMICUS BRIEF ATTEMPTS TO OVERTURN THIS COURT'S DENIAL OF ADDITIONAL COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THE FOSTER CHILDREN

This Court on November 15, 1976, denied a motion by appellees to have additional counsel appointed to represent appellant foster children. The proposed amici wish to speak for the foster children herein, arguing that Helen L. Buttenwieser's appointment as counsel for such children "did not make her their exclusive spokesman" (p. 9). This Court has already ruled adversely on the appropriateness of additional spokesmen.

Foster parents generally receive at least \$155.00 per month under their contracts with public or private agencies for the care of each child. Transcript of Record in the District Court, Docket Item No. 84, pp. 87-89.

American Bar Association Code of Professional Responsibility, Canon 5, EC 5-9, p. 19C.

^{**} Joseph Goldstein, Anna Freud, and Albert J. Solnit are on the Advisory Board of appellee Organization of Foster Families for Equality and Reform (p. iv).

^{***} The conflict between the foster children and the foster parents was set out in an opinion of the District Court dated December 10, 1974 (appellants' Joint Appendix to Jurisdictional Statements, pp. 61a-68a).

CONCLUSION

FOR ALL THE FOREGOING REASONS, THE MOTION OF THE GROUP OF CONCERNED PERSONS FOR CHILDREN FOR LEAVE TO FILE A BRIEF AMICI CURIAE SHOULD BE DENIED.

Dated: New York, New York January 20, 1977

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney General of the State of New York Attorney for State Appellants

SAMUEL A. HIRSHOWITZ First Assistant Attorney General

MARIA L. MARCUS Assistant Attorney General of Counsel

Maria J. Lafteritz Maria & Maria MARIA L. MARCUS

HELEN L. BUTTENWIESER Attorney for Appellants-Plaintiffs

HELEN L. BUTTENWIESER

MARTTIE L. THOMPSON Community Action for Legal Services, Inc. Attorney for Appellants-

Intervenors
LOUISE GRUNER GANS

of Counsel

LOUISE GRUNER GANS

W. BERNARD RICHLAND
Corporation Counsel of the
City of New York
Attorney for City Appellants
LEONARD KOERNER
Assistant Corporation Counsel
of Counsel

LEONARD KOERNER